



Some Properties of S_p -Closed Spaces and S_p -Closed Relative to Spaces

Hardi Ali Shareef

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Science Educations, School of Science, Sulaymaniyah, Iraq,
 e-mail: hardy.shareef@univsul.edu.iq

Article info

Original: 19 Oct. 2014
 Revised: 22 Nov. 2014
 Accepted: 10 Dec. 2014
 Published online:
 20 March 2015

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce two types of sets called S_p - θ -open and S_p -regular set in topological spaces and study more properties of S_p -closed spaces, S_p -closed relative to spaces interms of these two sets.

Key Words:

S_p - θ -open set
 S_p -regular set
 S_p -closed space
 S_p -closed relative to space

I. Introduction

Throughout this paper by a space X we mean a topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. The closure and the interior of A are denoted by $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$, respectively. The notion of S_p -open sets was introduced in 2007 by Khalaf A. B. and Shareef H. A. [10]. In 2012 Khalaf A. B. and Shareef H. A. defined S_p -closed spaces by using S_p -open sets and its S_p -closure of this set [5] while in 2013 Shareef H. A. introduced the notion of S_p -closed subspace and S_p -closed relative to spaces [11]. The $S_pcl(A)$ and $S_pint(A)$ denote the S_p -closure and S_p -interior of A , while $scl(A)$ denote the semi-closure of A .

II. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1: [10] A subset A of a space X is called S_p -open set if it is semi-open set and for each $x \in A$, there exists a pre-closed set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. And the complement of an S_p -open sets is called S_p -closed.

Definition 2.2: [5] A space X is said to be S_p -closed if for every S_p -open cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_pcl(V_\alpha)$.

Lemma 2.3: [10] A subset A of a space X is S_p -closed set if and only if $A = S_pcl(A)$.

Definition 2.4: [11] A subset A of a space X is called S_p -closed relative to X if for every cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of A by S_p -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_pcl(V_\alpha)$.

Definition 2.5: [3] A space X is said to be locally indiscrete if every open subset of X is closed.

Definition 2.6: A subset A of a space X is said to be semi-open [6] (resp. preopen [8], regular closed and regular open [13]) set if $A \subseteq \text{clint}(A)$ (resp. $A \subseteq \text{intcl}(A)$, $A = \text{clint}(A)$ and $A = \text{intcl}(A)$). And A is called semi-regular set if A is both semi-open and semi-closed set in X [7].

The family of all semi-open, preopen, regular open, regular closed and S_p -open sets of a space X denoted by $\text{SO}(X)$, $\text{PO}(X)$, $\text{RO}(X)$, $\text{RC}(X)$ and $S_p\text{O}(X)$.

Lemma 2.7: [2] A subset A of a space X is semi-closed if and only if $A = \text{scl}(A)$.

Theorem 2.8: [3] A space X is locally indiscrete if and only if every subset of X is a preopen set.

Theorem 2.9: [1] Let A be a subset of a space X . Then A is preopen set if and only if $\text{scl}(A) = \text{intcl}(A)$.

Theorem 2.10: [2] A subset A of space X is semi-closed if and only if $\text{intcl}(A) \subseteq A$.

Theorem 2.11: [10] If a space X is locally indiscrete, then every open set is S_p -open.

Definition 2.12: [9] A space X is said to be extremally disconnected space if the closure of every open set is open.

Lemma 2.13: [10] If a space X is extremally disconnected, then every S_p -open subset of X is preopen.

Proposition 2.14: [11] Let Y be a regular closed subspace of a space X and $A \subseteq Y$. If A is S_p -closed relative to X , then A is S_p -closed relative to Y .

Definition 2.15: [10] A space X is said to be p -Alexandroff if any intersection of preopen sets is preopen set. Or equivalently any union of preclosed sets is preclosed.

Theorem 2.16: [3] A space X is extremally disconnected if and only if every semi-open subset of X is preopen.

Lemma 2.17: [11] For any preopen subset A of a space X , $\text{scl}(A) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) = S_p\text{cl}(A)$.

Proposition 2.18: [4] A space X is extremally disconnected space if and only if $\text{RO}(X) = \text{RC}(X)$.

Definition 2.19: [7] A space X is said to be an s -closed space if for every semi-open cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \text{scl}(V_\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.20: [5] Every s -closed space is S_p -closed.

Lemma 2.21: [10] Let A and B be subsets of a space X . If $A \subseteq B$, then $S_p\text{cl}(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(B)$.

Definition 2.22: [12] A filter base on a space X is a non-empty family β of subsets of X with the following conditions:

1. $\emptyset \notin \beta$.
2. If $A, B \in \beta$, then there exists $G \in \beta$ such that $G \subseteq A \cap B$.

Definition 2.23: [5] A filter base β on a space X is S_p - θ -accumulates to a point $x \in X$ if for every S_p -open set U containing x and every $B \in \beta$, $B \cap S_p\text{cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 2.24: [5] A space X is an S_p -closed if and only if each filter base β in X is S_p - θ -accumulates to a point $x_0 \in X$.

III. Main Results:

Definition 3.1: Let A be a subset of X . Then A is called S_p - θ -open set if for each $x \in A$, there exist an S_p -open set U of X such that $x \in U \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(U) \subseteq A$. The family of all S_p - θ -open sets is denoted by $S_p\theta\text{O}(X)$.

Definition 3.2: A subset A of a space X is called S_p -regular set if it is both S_p -open and S_p -closed set in X . The family of all S_p -regular set is denoted by $S_p\text{R}(X)$.

From the above definitions it is clear that every S_p - θ -open set is S_p -open set and every S_p -regular set is S_p -open set, but the converse of them are not true as: If $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, then the family of S_p -open sets with respect to τ is $S_p\text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Here $\{a, c\}$ is S_p -open set but not S_p - θ -open and nor S_p -regular set.

Theorem 3.3: If a space X is S_p -closed, then every proper S_p -regular subset of X is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Let G be any proper S_p -regular subset of X and let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of G by S_p -open subsets of X . Then clearly $X \setminus G$ is also S_p -regular set in X this implies that $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup (X \setminus G)$ form an S_p -open cover of X , but since X is S_p -closed space so there exists a finite subfamily Δ_0 of Δ such that

$X = [\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)] \cup S_p \text{cl}(X \setminus G) = [\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)] \cup (X \setminus G)$ by Lemma 2.3, and then $G \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ this implies that by Definition 2.4 G is S_p -closed relative to X .

Theorem 3.4: If every proper S_p -regular set in a locally indiscrete space X is S_p -closed relative to X , then X is S_p -closed space.

Proof: Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any S_p -open cover of X and let $G = S_p \text{cl}(V_\beta)$ for a $\beta \in \Delta$. Then G is S_p -closed set in X and since X is locally indiscrete space so by Theorem 2.8 G is preopen set, and then by Theorem 2.9 $scl G = \text{intcl}(G)$. But since every S_p -open set is semi-open set so $scl(G) \subseteq S_p \text{cl}(G) = G$ implies that $\text{intcl}(G) \subseteq G$ and then by theorem in Theorem 2.10 G is semi-closed, and then $scl(G) = G = \text{intcl}(G)$ implies that G is open set. Since X is locally indiscrete space so G is closed, and then G is preclosed set. Thus G is S_p -open set implies that G is S_p -regular. Now $X \setminus G$ is also S_p -regular set and $X \setminus G \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ and since $X \setminus G$ is S_p -closed relative to X so there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X \setminus G \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ implies that $X = (\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)) \cup S_p \text{cl}(V_\beta)$. Hence X is S_p -closed space.

Corollary 3.5: Let X be a locally indiscrete space. Then X is S_p -closed space if and only if every proper S_p -regular subset of X is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6: Let A be any subset of a space X , then the following statements are true:

1. If A is S_p -closed relative to X , then every cover of A by S_p - θ -open set of X has a finite subcover.
2. If A is S_p -closed relative to X , then every cover of A by S_p -regular set of X has a finite subcover.

Proof:

1. Let A be S_p -closed relative to X and $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of A by S_p - θ -open sets in X . Then $A \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ implies that for each $x \in A$, there exists $\alpha_x \in \Delta$ such that $x \in V_{\alpha_x}$. But $V_{\alpha_x} \in S_p \theta O(X)$ so by Definition 3.1 there exists an S_p -open set U_x of X such that $x \in U_x \subseteq S_p \text{cl}(U_x) \subseteq V_{\alpha_x}$ this implies that $A \subseteq \cup_{x \in A} U_x$, and then the family $\{U_x: x \in A\}$ is a cover of A by S_p -open sets in X , but A is S_p -closed relative to X so there exists a finite points $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ in A such that $A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n S_p \text{cl}(U_{x_i}) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_{x_i}}$. Thus every cover of A by S_p - θ -open set of X has a finite subcover.
2. Let A be S_p -closed relative to X and $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of A by S_p -regular sets in X . Then by Definition 3.2 $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover of A by S_p -open sets in X and since A is S_p -closed relative to X so there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha) = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha$ since by Lemma 2.3 $S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha) = V_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus every cover of A by S_p -regular set of X has a finite subcover.

Lemma 3.7: Every S_p -regular set in a space X is S_p - θ -open set.

Proof: Let A be any S_p -regular set in X . Then A is both S_p -open and S_p -closed set, and then for each $x \in A$ there exists an S_p -open set which is A itself such that $x \in A = S_p \text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$. Thus A is S_p - θ -open set in X .

The converse of [Lemma 3.7] is not true in general as an example:

In the usual topological space (R, τ_U) , $R - \{0\}$ is S_p - θ -open set but not S_p -regular set in R .

Proposition 3.8: For a locally indiscrete space the following statements are equivalent:

1. X is S_p -closed space.
2. Every S_p - θ -open cover of X has a finite subcover.
3. Every S_p -regular cover of X has a finite subcover.

Proof: (1) \rightarrow (2)

Follow from Theorem 3.6 (1).

(2) \rightarrow (3)

Let (2) be satisfied and let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any S_p -regular cover of X . Then by Lemma 3.7 $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is S_p - θ -open cover of X and then by (2) there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha$. Thus (3) is satisfied.

(3) \rightarrow (1)

Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any S_p -open cover of X . Then $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ implies that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$. Since X is locally indiscrete space so for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $scl(V_\alpha) = S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ also by Theorem 2.8 V_α is preopen sets for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, and then by Theorem 2.9 $scl(V_\alpha) = \text{intcl}(V_\alpha)$ implies that by Theorem 2.11 $S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ is S_p -open set. Thus for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ is S_p -regular set in X , then the family $\{S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha): \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an S_p -regular cover of X and by (3) there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ implies that X is S_p -closed space.

Proposition 3.9: Let A and B be subsets of a space X such that $A \cap B \neq \phi$. If A is S_p -closed relative to X and B is S_p -regular set in X , then $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any cover of $A \cap B$ by S_p -open sets in X . Then $A \cap B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$, and since B is S_p -regular set in X implies that $X \setminus B$ is also S_p -regular in X and then $X \setminus B$ is S_p -open set in X implies that $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup (X \setminus B)$ is a cover of A by S_p -open sets in X . Since A is S_p -closed relative to X , then there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subseteq [\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)] \cup (X \setminus B)$ this implies that $A \cap B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p\text{cl}(V_\alpha)$. Thus $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to X .

Corollary 3.10: If B is an S_p -regular set in an S_p -closed space X , then B is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.9.

Corollary 3.11: If A and B are S_p -regular subsets of an S_p -closed space X such that $A \cap B \neq \phi$, then $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.10.

Lemma 3.12: If a space X is extremally disconnected space, then every S_p -regular set in X is regular closed.

Proof: Follows from Lemma 2.13.

Corollary 3.13: Let A and B be subsets of an extremally disconnected space X such that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \in S_pR(X)$. If A is S_p -closed relative to X , then A is S_p -closed relative to B .

Proof: Let A be S_p -closed relative to X . Since X is extremally disconnected space and $B \in S_pR(X)$, then by

Lemma 3.12: $B \in RC(X)$ this implies that by Proposition 2.14 A is S_p -closed relative to B .

Corollary 3.14: Let A be S_p -closed relative to an extremally disconnected space X . Then for each $B \in S_pR(X)$, $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to B .

Proof: Since B is S_p -regular set in X , so by Theorem 3.9 $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to X . But $A \cap B \subseteq B$ and X is extremally disconnected space, then by Corollary 3.13 $A \cap B$ is S_p -closed relative to B .

Corollary 3.15: If B is an S_p -regular set in a space X and A is S_p -closed relative to X such that $B \subseteq A$, then B is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: follows from Theorem 3.9.

Proposition 3.16: Let X be a locally indiscrete space and $A \in S_pC(X)$. If A is S_p -closed relative to X , then $S_p\text{int}(A)$ is S_p -closed relative to X .

Proof: Let $A \in S_pC(X)$ and be S_p -closed relative to X . Then by Lemma 2.3 $A = S_p\text{cl}(A)$ and since X is locally indiscrete space, so by Theorem 2.8 A is preopen and by Theorem 2.9 $scl(A) = \text{intcl}(A)$. But A is semi-closed set in X implies that by Lemma 2.7 $A = scl(A)$ and then A is open set in X this implies that A is semi-open set also by Definition 2.5 A is closed, then A is preclosed in X . Therefore; A is S_p -open set, and then A is S_p -regular set in X this implies that $A = S_p\text{int}(A) \in S_pR(X)$, but A is S_p -closed relative X so by Corollary 3.15 $S_p\text{int}(A)$ is S_p -closed relative X .

Lemma 3.17: If a space X is extremally disconnected and p -Alexandroff, then for any subset A of X , $S_p\text{cl}(A) \in S_pR(X)$

Proof: Let A be a subset of extremally disconnected and p -Alexandroff space X . Then $S_p\text{cl}(A)$ is S_p -closed in X . Now let $S_p\text{cl}(A) = G$ implies that G is semi-closed and is the intersection of preopen set and since X is extremally disconnected space, then by Theorem 2.16 G is preclosed in X also since X is p -Alexandroff space so G is also preopen implies that by Lemma 2.17 $G = S_p\text{cl}(G) = scl(G) = \text{intcl}(G)$. Then G is semi-open and since X is extremally disconnected space so $G \in RC(X)$ this implies that G is S_p -open set. Hence $G = S_p\text{cl}(A)$ is S_p -regular set.

Theorem 3.18: Let X be p -Alexandroff and extremally disconnected space. Then X is S_p -closed space if and only if every S_p -regular cover of X has a finite subcover.

Proof: Let X be S_p -closed space. Then by Theorem 3.6 (2) every S_p -regular cover of X has a finite subcover.

Conversely: Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any S_p -open cover of X . Then $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ implies that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$ and since X is p -Alexandroff and extremally disconnected space, so by Lemma 3.17 for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha) \in S_p \text{R}(X)$ this implies that by hypothesis there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} S_p \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$. Thus X is S_p -closed space.

Lemma 3.19: If a space X is extremally disconnected space, then S_p -regular set and semi-regular set are identical.

Proof: Clearly every S_p -regular set is semi-regular and since X is extremally disconnected space, so by Theorem 2.16 every semi-regular set is S_p -regular.

Proposition 3.20: If a space X is extremally disconnected and p -Alexandroff, then s -closed and S_p -closed spaces are identical.

Proof: Follows from Lemma 3.19 and Proposition 2.20.

Definition 3.21: A point $x \in X$ is said to be S_p - θ -adherent point of a subset A of X if for every S_p -open set U containing x , $S_p \text{cl}(U) \cap A \neq \phi$. The set of all S_p - θ -adherent points of A is called S_p - θ -closure of A and it is denoted by $S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$. Clearly A is called S_p - θ -closed if and only if $S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A) = A$.

Proposition 3.22: Let X be an S_p -closed space. Then for every family of S_p -regular sets $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha = \phi$, there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha = \phi$.

Proof: Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any family of S_p -regular sets of X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha = \phi$. Then $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha^c$, but $V_\alpha^c \in S_p \text{R}(X)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ this implies that $\{V_\alpha^c: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an S_p -regular cover of X and since X is S_p -closed so by Theorem 3.6 there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha^c$ this implies that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha = \phi$.

Corollary 3.23: If a space X is locally indiscrete or p -Alexandroff and extremally disconnected, then X is S_p -closed if and only if for every family of S_p -regular sets $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha = \phi$, there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha = \phi$.

Proof: Follows from Proposition 3.22, Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 3.18.

Proposition 3.24: If a space X is S_p -closed, then each family of S_p - θ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property has a non-void intersection.

Proof: Let X be S_p -closed space and $\{F_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any family of S_p - θ -closed subsets of X which has a finite intersection property. To show $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha \neq \phi$, let β be the collection of all finite intersections of members of $\{F_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Then clearly β form a filter base on X , so by Proposition 2.24 β has an S_p - θ -accumulation point say $x_0 \in X$ implies that for every S_p -open set U containing x_0 and every $H \in \beta$, $S_p \text{cl}(U) \cap H \neq \phi$ and then $x_0 \in S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(F_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, but F_α is S_p - θ -closed set in X for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ this implies that $x_0 \in F_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. And then $x_0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$ this implies that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha \neq \phi$.

Lemma 3.25: Let X be any space and A be a subset of X . If $A \in S_p \text{O}(X)$, then $S_p \text{cl}(A) = S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$.

Proof: Let $x \in S_p \text{cl}(A)$. Then for every S_p -open set U which containing x , we have $U \cap A \neq \phi$ this implies that $S_p \text{cl}(U) \cap A \neq \phi$ and then $x \in S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$, thus $S_p \text{cl}(A) \subseteq S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$.

Now let $x \in S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$. To show $x \in S_p \text{cl}(A)$, if possible suppose that $x \notin S_p \text{cl}(A)$ this implies that there exists an S_p -open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \phi$, then $U \subseteq X \setminus A$ and then by Lemma 2.21 $S_p \text{cl}(U) \subseteq S_p \text{cl}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ implies that $S_p \text{cl}(U) \cap A = \phi$, then $x \notin S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$ which is a contradiction, thus $x \in S_p \text{cl}(A)$ this implies that $S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A) \subseteq S_p \text{cl}(A)$. Hence $S_p\text{-cl}_\theta(A) = S_p \text{cl}(A)$.

Proposition 3.26: Let X be a locally indiscrete space. Then X is an S_p -closed space if and only if every family of S_p - θ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property, has non-void intersections.

Proof: Let X be S_p -closed space. Then by Proposition 3.24 then every family of S_p - θ -closed subsets of X with finite intersection property, has non-void intersection.

Conversely: let the hypothesis be satisfied, to show X is S_p -closed space if possible suppose that X is not S_p -closed space, then by Proposition 3.8 there exists an S_p -regular cover of X say $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ which has no finite subcover, this implies that for every finite subset Δ_0 of Δ , $X \neq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha$ and then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha^c \neq \emptyset$. But for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $V_\alpha^c \in S_pR(X)$, then $V_\alpha^c \in S_pO(X)$ implies that by Lemma 3.25 V_α^c is S_p - θ -closed set in X for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then the family $\{V_\alpha^c: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is S_p - θ -closed sets of X with finite intersection property, so by hypothesis $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha^c \neq \emptyset$ implies that $X \neq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ which is a contradiction, so X must be S_p -closed space.

References

- [1] Ameen Z. A., A new class of semi-open sets in topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Duhok, Iraq, 2009.
- [2] Crossley S. G., and Hildebrand S. K., Semi-closure, Texas J. Sci., Vol. 22, pp. 99-112, 1971.
- [3] Dontchev J., Survey on preopen sets, ArXiv: Math., Vol. 1, pp. 1-18, 1998.
- [4] Guo T. Y., "A characterization of extremally disconnected spaces", J. Central China Normal Univ. Natur. Sci, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 169-170, 1981.
- [5] Khalaf A. B. and Shareef H. A., On S_p -closed spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 74-81, 2012.
- [6] Levine N., Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 70, pp. 36-41, 1963.
- [7] Maio G. D., and Noiri T., On s -closed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 18 (3), 226-233, 1987.
- [8] Mashhour A. S., and Abd-El-Monsef M. E. and El-Deeb S. N., On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, Vol. 53, pp. 47-53, 1982.
- [9] Noiri T., A note on extremally disconnected spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 79, No. 2, pp. 327-330, 1980.
- [10] Shareef H. A., S_p -open sets, S_p -continuity and S_p -compactness in topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Sulaimani, Iraq, 2007.
- [11] Shareef H. A., A generalization of some new topological concepts by using the class of S_p -open sets, Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Sulaimani, Iraq, 2013.
- [12] Sharma J. N., and Chauhan J. P., Topology (general and algebraic), Krishna Prakashsan Media, Indai, 2011.
- [13] Steen L. A. and Seebach J. A., Counterexamples in Topology, Holtt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1970.